



MINUTES OF A MEETING OF COUNCIL TO BE HELD IN SNH SEMINAR ROOM 3001 ON THE 11TH OF SEPTEMBER 2017 AT 6PM

Chair: Kiriti Mortha
Minutes: Oliver Moore
Attendance: Marguerite Biastti, Rachel Evans, Siobhan Neyland, Jennifer Nicholson, Gareth Charles, Zushan Hashmi, Mariam Mohammed, Nic Avery, James Leeder, Dean Lovett, Cathy Eatock, Jocelyn Drakakis (*entered 6:10*), Bec Johnson (*entered 6:20*).
Staff: John Fell
Guests: Chris Rudge, Nanda Jarosz

★ 1. Acknowledgement of Country and Welcome

We acknowledge the Gadigal people of the Eora Nation as the traditional custodians of the land. We acknowledge that the land upon which we meet is stolen land, and that sovereignty was never ceded. We pay our respects to Elders past and present, and extend those respects to all First Nations persons here. This always was, and always will be, Aboriginal land.

★ 2. Governance & Declaration of COI

2.1. Apologies

Luoning Dong
Mike Butler
Daniella Eassey
Manisha Reza Paul
Cameron Nottingham
Natasha Chaudhary
Nichole Seebacher
Samay Sabharwal

Ratified by Council on 08/11/17.

Gayatri Kotnala

Eila Vinywn

2.2. Proxies

Luoning Dong proxies to Dean Lovett (1st, Gayatri Kotnala 2nd, Cathy Eatock 3rd)

Mike Butler proxies to Cathy Eatock (1st, Natasha Chaudhary 2nd, and Gayatri Kotnala 3rd)

Daniella Eassey proxies to Dean Lovett (1st, Gayatri Kotnala 2nd, Kiriti Mortha 3rd)

Manisha Reza Paul proxies to Jocelyn Drakakis (1st, Dean Lovett 2nd)

Zushan Hashmi proxies to Samay Sabharwal (1st, Cathy Eatock 2nd, Gayatri Kotnala 3rd)

Cameron Nottingham proxies to Nic Avery (1st, Oliver Moore 2nd)

Natasha Chaudhary proxies to Bec Johnson (1st, Samay Sabharwal 2nd, Dean Lovett 3rd)

Jessie Zhang proxies to Oliver Moore

Nichole Seebacher proxies to Oliver Moore

Jocelyn Drakakis proxies to Mariam Mohammed

Eila Vinwyn proxies to Rachel Evans (1st, Nic Avery 2nd)

Samay Sabharwal proxies to Dean Lovett (1st, Bec Johnson 2nd, Gayatri Kotnala 3rd)

Gayatri Kotnala proxies to Bec Johnson (1st, Jocelyn Drakakis 2nd, Mariam Mohammed 3rd)

2.3. Starring of Items

Council is invited to star any agenda items they would like discussed.

Motion: That council approves all unstarred items.

Moved: Mariam

Seconded: Dean

Motion carried.

2.4. Resignations (if relevant)

2.4.1 Natasha Heenan resigns her position to Siobhan Neyland.

3. Minutes and Reports of Meetings

3.1. Minutes of the Previous Month's Meetings

3.1.1. MEETING OF THE EQUITY COMMITTEE, 6TH SEPTEMBER 2017

3.1.2. MEETING OF THE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE, 8TH SEPTEMBER 2017

3.1.3. MEETING OF COUNCIL, 10TH AUGUST 2017

3.2. Business Arising from the Minutes

4. Officer Reports

4.1. Executive Reports

4.1.1. PRESIDENT

Mariam Mohammed
Kiriti Mortha

★

4.1.2. VICE PRESIDENT

Gayatri Kotnala [*no report submitted*]
Dean Lovett

★

4.1.3. EDUCATION OFFICER

Rachel Evans [*report submitted late*]

4.1.4. TREASURER

Luoning Dong

4.1.5. SECRETARY

Oliver Moore

4.1.6. DIRECTOR OF STUDENT PUBLICATIONS

Zushan Ahmad Hashmi

4.2. Equity Officer Reports

★

4.2.1. ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER OFFICER

Cathryn Eatock

C.E. states that she's spent several weeks developing a concept note for a major campaigns which she'd like the council to get behind. In 1988 many people rallied in January in Sydney around justice for Aboriginal people. They're expecting 60-80 thousand people for the 30 year reunion of this in January. There will be a three day forum around Indigenous rights which will discuss the development of a treaty. She's consulted with land councils and with the national congress which have affirmed their support. This will go ahead as a major campaign. She believes this will be the largest campaign SUPRA has ever been involved in. She's also had some initial meetings with unions and the Human Rights Commission who are all on board. They're in the process of doing a detailed budget report and she's seeking support for a website so the campaign can be launched in full in September. She also outlined some issues for the Indigenous portfolio, including desk space, the merit appointment scheme, and building relationships with international universities, including Victoria University in Canada. K.M. mentions a workshop C.E. organised a few weeks ago.

[170911-1]: To support the campaign for the 30th anniversary of the Long March and that SUPRA support the development of the web page and that staff be allocated time to create a budget.

Moved: Cathy **Seconded:** Mariam

Motion carried.

[170911-2]: That this council acknowledge the incredible, thorough, and important work of the Indigenous Officer.

Moved: Dean Lovett **Seconded:** Zushan

Motion carried. Cathy Eatock abstained from this motion.

[170911-3]: To approve the Indigenous Officer's report.

Moved: Mariam **Seconded:** Bec

Motion carried.

Procedural: To move to items 9 and 10.

Moved: Bec

Motion carried.

★ 4.2.2. DISABILITIES OFFICER

Marguerite Biasatti [*report submitted late*]

4.2.3. INTERNATIONAL OFFICER

Samay Sabharwal

4.2.4. QUEER OFFICER

Oliver Moore

★ 4.2.5. WOMEN'S OFFICER

Natasha Chaudhary [*report submitted late*]

4.2.6. SATELLITE CAMPUS OFFICER

Vacant

4.3. Business Arising from officer reports

4.3.1. PRESIDENT QUESTION TIME

4.3.2. ACTION SCHEDULE UPDATE

4.3.3. MOTIONS FROM REPORTS

4.3.4. OTHER BUSINESS FROM REPORTS

★ 5. **Non – Binary & Trans Workshop**

K.M. notes that since we've increased our Council representation to include some positions for non cis male positions. Please fill out the poll to determine when this workshop, run by one of our SAAOs, will be.

★ 6. **Postgraduate Issues: Learning Analytics and Advisory Board**

D.L. sits on this board which is using data to look at a range of things done at the university and by the university. The University has drafted Terms of Reference and D.L. is reporting that they've added a whole extra item to look at the student campus and learning experience, which is a great thing. There was also some discussion of the privacy policy – there's a new one coming out which aims to consolidate the university privacy policy.

There is some concern about the new policy which only allows for violations to exist if there is “real risk of serious harm” or legal and financial damage or reputational disadvantage. They’ve spoken to someone about it and there is some hope that the policy will change. It looks like the university is looking to protect itself but not necessarily looking to protect their students. B.J. notes there’s discussions in the private sphere about people monetising their own data.

K.M. notes that they’ve finally received a response to the capital grant submission process and they’ve directed us to CIS so that continues as an agenda item.

★ 7. Verbal report from Staff member

John Fell (J.F.) is pleased to hear about the Capital Grants as it would allow work to be done on the downstairs offices. As of today we have equity of \$600,000. That money we don’t want to go into a negative. The next lot of funding is not until February next year, and there’s 11 pays since now and then. The fortnightly pay roll is about \$42,000, so roughly \$460,000 will be spent before we have anything paid back into our account, plus some money for the Redfern Legal Centre and so on. There is not a huge problem with money getting tight in January and February. We usually budget for a little bit of a deficit but this year there will be a surplus of about \$90,000, which is too much money to be reported back to the university. We could be spending in Merchandising and in the Student Initiatives areas. The equity officers need to spend some more money. It could be used for an end of year function. We are financially in a pretty good position at the moment. J.F. will start work on next year’s budget in the next little while and encourages anyone who has ideas to email him. B.J. has been talking to research students and notes that a function that is a HDR specific with 60% students and 40% academics as a way of moving students into academic roles would be a way of spending the money. N.A. asks if we have to spend all that money. J.F. says that there was a period of time when we didn’t spend any money at all and that we don’t need to but it would be nice to break even. J.N. asks what the least amount for us to have to spend for it not to be unreasonable to take back to the university. J.F. notes that we made significant savings in stipend and salary this year and he doesn’t expect the lot to be spent but it would be great to spend half of it. C.E. asks if its discretionary funds or if it’s an estimate of what would be spent. J.F. says it will be the latter. C.E. asks that the indigenous portfolio has been spend. C.E. asks what the community portfolio is. Mariam Mohammed notes that this is the budget line for community engagement event portfolios, like for the Soapbox and the event we heard from earlier tonight for the FASS students. K.M. notes that they will begin merchandising – the admin and pubs team have been working hard to revamp SUPRA’s image.

ACTION: Presidents get in touch with the equity networks regarding spending more money.

J.F. reads a notice from Francine Seeto regarding the Supervisor of the Year awards, asking for a working group of three students to decide on the design of the award and to arrange the judging. If you’re interested in being involved speak to Francine or the Presidents. J.F. also has an advocacy report from Adrian which he didn’t have time to read but will be circulated. K.M. notes that they’ve been meeting with the office

[170911-6]: To thank John and the staff for their hard work.

Moved: Ollie **Seconded:** James

Motion carried.



8. Workplace Consultative Committee

K.M. notes that this is a committee which runs to assist the council. The chair for the next meeting is Adrian and notes that we need to appoint up to three members of council to sit on this committee. There is a level of confidentiality involved. Dean, Ollie, Cathy, and Marguerite would like to be involved.

[170911-7]: That Dean, Ollie, Cathy, and Maruguerite be appointed to the Workplace Consultative Committee

Moved: Mariam **Seconded:** Bec

Motion carried. Dean Lovett abstained from voting for this motion.

★ 9. Philament Journal

Chris Rudge (C.R.) states that the journal has been running since 2003. It is a peer-reviewed open access journal for literature and culture in the Arts. It publishes predominantly postgraduate work and is run by postgraduates. He believes that it is an important publication that would be best funded by SUPRA as it is in line with our objects. Philament has been unfunded for as long as it has been published. It is essential for postgraduates to have peer-reviewed publications out there if they are seeking work in an academic field, and this is a free and effective way to achieve this for students. Recently two students have achieved an APA with the help of their publication in Philament. It doesn't just help authors publish, it is also a collaborative team and allows people to join the editorial team and get very good editorial training to advance their career as editors. Unlike a student newspaper, Philament allows postgraduates to express their views in an academic way, though it does also publish creative writing and reviews. Philament is also an opportunity for postgraduates to come together to create something through the editorial group. They don't take any copyright and there have been a lot of requests to republish content in often quite prestigious complations. They're an open access journal and authors retain the rights to anything they create. C.R. is asking for \$5000 for the associated costs of putting the journal together. They've been an online journal but have experimented with the print process and they would like to continue that. They also have some costs for web development and hosting. They would love to offer a prize to the postgraduate for the best essay, as this would incentivise the journal. They'd also like to get a better submissions process and there's software that they could use for that which is \$374 a year. They'd also love to be able to compensate the labor costs of the postgraduates who work on the journal, they're asking for \$350 and issue for two issues a year. There's also some archival costs for things like EBSCOhost and JSTOR. They're also asking for funding to conform with the COPE guidelines.

Dean Lovett (D.L.) says that this is an important request but says that he cannot support things without a competitive tendering process and asks if there will be. He says that there could be work done to support the website, for example, from the postgraduate community. Zushan Hashmi (Z.H.) says he has spent a lot of time with Chris and looking at the journal over the last couple of months and says that the costings are very reasonable. The fact that we have a postgraduate journal that isn't being supported is a shame, it would be great to support it. Nic Avery (N.A.) reflects on the tertiary education climate and says that our research students are following a fraught path and if we can help them and their career parths we should. Marguerite Biasseti (M.B.) asks how many people would be eligible to be part of this. C.R. says that it would be the Arts broadly defined to include social science and the like. Oliver Moore says that there are 1100 research students in the Arts. C.R. notes that the number is increasing. Bec Johnson (B.J.) thinks that it is a great cause but wants to know if the faculty has been approached to funding. C.R. has said that he has, but the reason he went to SUPRA first is because other postgraduate journals in Australia are funded by their postgraduate associations. B.J. notes that there are some grants coming up

that they could be funded by. C.R. thinks that the journal can change and we would narrow the focus on postgraduates if we were funded. C.E. notes that this is a significant amount of money, but notes that it's a strong proposal. She asks about accessibility for people to access the journal. She notes that it is a requirement for students to publish. Oliver Moore notes the importance of paying editors for their work and suggests that as a minimum that we fund that. James Leeder (J.L.) asks what's happening with editors and asks about the estimates for labour, asks what the hourly rate they've put in. C.R. notes that he's edited the last few issues mainly because no-one else has put up their hands, a big issue for getting editors on board is that there's a lack of funding. There's one issue and an editor for it tentatively locked in. The wage is not award wage, it's something of a token amount, but it's something better than nothing. Jennifer Nicholson (J.N.) notes the importance of postgraduate students to get published, and the importance of being published in a journal that the administration believes to be a "legitimate" publication, so things like indexing and archiving are very important. K.M. asks John Fell about the budget. J.F. notes that we're doing very well this year and notes that it would be great to get a bit more expenditure through this year. He is forecasting that we will have quite a big surplus if we don't increase our spending levels and notes that we don't want to have a big surplus. K.M. notes that he and Mariam met with C.R. and they were completely on board with this.

[170911-4]: That we fund \$5000 for Philament but refer to Management Committee for the finer details of the partnership

ACTION: Secretary to follow up on this at ManCom

Moved: Nic **Seconded:** Zushan

Motion carried.

★ 10. **Funding Request: FASS students**

Nanda Jarosz (N.J.) comes bearing a request for funding the run a Literary Theory discussion group. At the moment this exists in the School of Languages and Cultures in a more informal form. This would be for all students who work in the field of literary theory as a form of networking and also as an opportunity for interdisciplinary works. The main emphasis would be to provide practical feedback on each other's work in order to make it the highest standard possible. N.J. is asking for funding for an inaugural event, where she would reach out to members of all the associated departments and ask for scholars to speak at an event. The plan is to hold the event at the end of October and is asking for \$250 for a wine and cheese style event. These would run outside of semester as well as HDR work runs outside those parameters. She'd be more than happy to disseminate SUPRA information at the meetings. This would be a good opportunity to foster an intellectual climate. K.M. notes that Nanda is on the FASS HDR discussion group. D.L. asks how many people he predicts would attend. N.J. says it depends on the academic sponsors, but she's hoping there'll be up to 50 people. D.L. suggests upping the amount. R.E. clarifies that it was \$1600 for one wine and cheese. K.M. notes that we were matched by USU so it was only \$800 of SUPRA expenditure. She endorses the proposal but asks that a SUPRA office bearer attends to explain the SUPRA campaigns. K.M. notes that the best person to do that would be R.E. J.N. asks if it is a work for reading each other's work about literary theory or reading about literary theory. It is the former.

[170911-5]: That we approve \$500 for this event on the proviso that a SUPRA officer comesto attend

Moved: Bec **Seconded:** Mariam

Motion carried.

★ 11. NTEU Update

K.M. read an notice from Louise Corney, our office manager, who is an NTEU committee member regarding the strike:

Enterprise bargaining and Industrial Action at USYD

Since February, staff at the university have been in negotiations with management. The union has made great progress for staff but negotiations have stalled.

The strike is about:

- A salary-offer that at least keeps pace with inflation (management want to give us a real wage cut)
- Putting an end to discrimination against casually employed staff members in sick-leave and superannuation
- Getting management to commit to redeploying staff whose positions are made redundant
- Preserving the vital teaching-research nexus, so that the people who lecture you are active researchers in their fields (management want to employ over 200 people with no time to do research)

Why it matters to students:

- Because you deserve to study at a university where:
- Casual staff don't feel they have to come to work even when they're sick
- Lecturers are given the time to keep up to date in their fields so your experience learning is up to date too.

Why does it matter to our organisation?

- We, staff and student unions, all hold management accountable. These are the checks and balances that keep Sydney University strong and a positive space for students.
- When services and admin support are removed from the university, students often end up here at SUPRA. This is the uni leaving it up to us and other services to pick up the pieces of their funding cuts.

What is a strike and picket?

- When employees go on strike, they stop doing the work their employer wants them to do, and they lose pay in the process. Not working is the main real power employees exert in order to improve their working conditions.
 - On the September 13 strike, union members will be standing at the entrances to the university, and asking students and staff not to come onto the campus. Ignoring this request, and **crossing the picket line, is an extremely serious decision**. It means that you are not prepared to support University employees' struggle for fairer working conditions.

What to say to fellow students

- When staff go on strike, we sacrifice a day's pay in order to campaign for fairer working conditions.
- **They're asking you to support them.**
- No one wants to have teaching disrupted, but missing class is a small price to pay for the improvements to the quality of your education that will result if the strikes are successful.
- Staff working conditions are student learning conditions

Stand with your colleagues, peers and university staff at the picket!

Let's make history!

K.M. was interested if they had any data on whether postgraduate students are NTEU members but they did not have this information. C.E. thought that we had requested at ManCom for an information sheet to be created and distributed regarding this. R.E. says that it was a really great idea but time ran away with us and it unfortunately has not been done. They attended an NTEU members meeting on Friday and got some updates on the campaign. N.A. states that in terms of more structured campaigns to mobilise casual university members there's a separate body called the casual's network who work with the NTEU and their key objective is to support casuals. C.E. says that she's not asking for a massive campaign, but she is asking for a written message. R.E. notes that the four demands on the back of the leaflet have been announced to lectures over the last week, plus a number of student organisations. They've posted the letter from Kurt Iveson (the NTEU Branch President) to the SUPRA Facebook pages. They're well aware that they can only reach a certain number of students. They'd like to empower councillors to take this to their tutors and lecturers. R.E. notes the importance of supporting our casuals and noting that you can only take protected industrial action if you are an NTEU member. B.J. notes that she's a member of the NTEU and has been emailed by her direct employer that it's against her contract to go on strike and that she has to find a replacement but she also got an email from NTEU saying that classes weren't on. She says that it's unfair to ask people to take what is essentially a two week pay cut. She felt the NTEU was trying to shame her into a particular place. She also notes that if her employer is unhappy with her work she won't get another academic job. She notes that the casuals network is not informing their constituents, so if they could come up with these things succinctly put together in one place that would be great. M.M. notes that the discussion at ManCom was around sending out a special edition of eGrad with this information which can be sent out tomorrow. C.E. notes that this would be an email to send out to everyone as people don't look at the SUPRA email. She notes that she has not received any information about this by email. SUPRA needs to be informing their constituents. It is also important to show how cheap it is to join. J.N. asks whether the NTEU has offered to cover all of the wages or whether they will try and pay it back. As some tutors will be able to risk it if they are able to get some of their pay back. N.A. notes that a great source of information on this is the NTEU emails, which go out regularly. We've also put some information out in eGrad. N.A. notes that he's not a worker at the university but that he will be on the pickets. He notes that eligibility is dependant on attending the pickets and also that the amount of pay available is dependant on the number of applications. He notes that things like coming to campus to do things like have coffee or use the library is strike breaking and can inadvertently undermine the campaign. R.E. notes that this information will flow back to the NTEU, and notes that management has been putting pressure on supervisors to put pressure on overworked casual employees. She mentions a recent poll that was sent out to every staff member to ask if they would be willing to renegotiate their contract individually, which has a movement against the union and was thankfully not successful. Council wants to recruit to the NTEU, we want to be able to say to casuals that it's really important to join the union. SUPRA will be at Footbridge or City Road at the pickets. K.M. notes that the education department has been doing a lot of work but notes that it would be great if this information was communicated better. He notes that when the last federal elections were on there was an election cheat sheet and a similar thing could be done for this. A graphic handout that can be circulated through eGrad. R.E. notes that we went on strike on open day and at the anti rape on campus action. She notes that we need to unite council around this struggle, but in order to do this we need to have staff and students on the picket line. She hopes that we will see more casual teaching positions as a result of the strikes, which is what happened in 2013.

[170911-8]: In recognition of the NTEU's demands for fair pay and working conditions, and in recognition that the quality of education postgraduate students receive is dependant on the quality of working conditions of academic and general staff at this university, SUPRA wholeheartedly endorses the NTEU strike on Wednesday September 13.

Moved: Nic Avery **Seconded:** Bec Johnson

Motion carried.

[170911-9]: In solidarity with the NTEU, SUPRA councillors and staff will not conduct business of the Association on or off campus on this day.

Moved: Nic Avery **Seconded:** Mariam Mohammed

Motion carried.

ACTION: The education office will write up a special edition of eGrad which will cover the reasons for the strike

Procedural: To move to item 13.

Moved: Nic

Motion carried.

★ 12. PA System

N.A. notes that the idea of purchasing a PA system was floated at ManCom (and in the last council). It could be used for wine and cheese and at a lot of different events. At the moment we can loan one out from ICT but only staff can do this. N.A. has spoken to someone from Soundgear Australia to discuss the costs of several different models of MiPro systems. From his research this is the best place in Sydney to buy PAs. The SRC have the newest model but it's not the best. N.A. notes that as well as relatively contained indoor events we also have outdoor rallies. D.L. notes that movability is a huge factor and that lithium is heaps lighter. C.E. proposes that we go with the 808 as we have big events and we should have the capacity to deal with large events, it's a long term investment. J.N. asks how long these will last. N.A. says that if we properly maintain the sealed lead batteries can last up to about five years. J.N. notes that she has a friend who works in sound who might be able to help. J.L. questions whether we get two 708s over an 808, as this would allow more movement. D.L. suggests we look into an external battery. R.E. suggests we approve up to \$4000 and that we do more investigation and come back to ManCom. K.M. suggests we get a 708 now and get a second one in the future if we like it.

[170911-11]: To approve up to \$4000 for the purchase of a PA system.

Moved: Nic **Seconded:** James

Motion carried.

★ 13. Strike Fund

N.A. states that the NTEU has put up a strike fund to pay back at least some of the wages of casual staff to recognise that this is asking a lot of them. We should note that most of the people who would be covered by this are postgraduate students. J.L. speaks in favour of this, and notes that current conditions are a good benchmark for future conditions and thinks that this is an incredibly useful way to show assistance. D.L. notes that its great to see the council working together on this and thinks that this is a great way to spend the money.

[170911-10]: that SUPRA donate \$4000 for the NTEU strike fund

Moved: Nic **Seconded:** Dean

Motion carried.

ACTION: That the Education Officer to coordinate the spending of this money,

14. Other Business

K.M. notes that we have to advertise for the casual vacancies – the draft motion will be advertised much more widely, it will go out in three Honi Soits, two eGrads, and one wine and cheese. The Satellite campus election is underway, Gayatri has contacted people at a range of campuses. The idea is to complete this election before the next council election.

R.E. asks that SUPRA endorse three rallies. One is for the Rohingya support rally on Sunday at 3pm in Martin Place. Another is an Adani rally at the Westin in Martin Place tomorrow at

C.E. notes that Mike Butler has raised some issue regarding the law faculty's late penalties where he was unable to get access to information regarding SUPRA's position. R.E. states that she doesn't remember getting a request. She notes that he cannot attend SUPRA events, so if he goes to the law faculty that's his business but he wasn't at council. C.E. notes that he's entitled to know the stance of SUPRA as any postgraduate student is. Gareth Charles (G.C.) notes that we can continue to communicate with Mike on issues. The issue of the uniformity of faculty late penalties was discussed at the last council meeting, and would therefore be in the minutes. N.A. doesn't think that anyone here is of the opinion that as councillors we shouldn't communicate with Mike and thinks that a non-communication from council would be an unlikely position. He suggests that this is a case of miscommunication and that that happens sometimes. C.E. thinks that this is a case of forwarding the information relevant to his role as a constituent. R.E. notes put her number on the board and notes that people can contact her there. M.M. discourages people from using phone calls as a mode of official communication, and states that formal communication should be made through email addresses. K.M. notes that we should refer to the communication policy and emails should be responded to within two working days. C.E. notes that she is currently undertaking research regarding the Mike issue through the Work Health and Safety committee and invites anyone on the council who wishes to speak to her about this to do so.

B.J. would like to propose a party for HDR students, there are no details as current, but that this would be in November. People are interested to do so. K.M. notes that this would fall under the Community Engagement portfolio and refers it to the Education Officer. R.E. notes that they'll be on the ground a lot in the next couple of weeks and there wouldn't be a lot of time.

ACTION: The Education Officer to set up a meeting with Bec to set up a meeting for this event.

K.M. notes that all office bearers need to call their committee meetings.

[170911-11]: To endorse all marriage equality campaign rallies

Moved: Cathy **Seconded:** Jennifer

Motion carried.

Meeting closed 8:42pm.